

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/569,953	10/30/2006	Kiyoaki Takiguchi	09812.0123	3086	
	7590 09/01/201 HENDERSON, FARAI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EVOY, NICHOLAS LANE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	3768			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/01/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/569,953	TAKIGUCHI, KIYOAKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NICHOLAS L. EVOY	3768	
	10/569,953 Examiner	10/569,953 TAKIGUCHI, KIYOA Examiner Art Unit

	NICHOLAS L. EVOY	3768	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must linely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this and event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire.</li> </ul>			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of evunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office are may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bek			
<ul><li>(c)   They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768

/Long V Le/

/NICHOLAS L. EVOY/

Examiner, Art Unit 3768

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of the limitation regarding the quasi-electrostatic field detecting means changes the scope of the claim and necessitates further consideration and a new search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments that Gersheneld fails to teach or suggest that "said quasi-electrostatic field detecting means comprises a first pair of electrodes both formed on a same insulating sheet' is not persuasive. The system disclosed by Gersheneld in Column 8, Lines 42-54 and Figure 10 reads on the newly added claim limitation. Additionally, the amended claims (Claims 1, 8 14 and 15) now include limitations that alter the scope of the claim and present new issues.